UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

•

FILED

JAN 1 1 2005

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

DONALD J. BEARDSLEE)	Case No. 05-15042
Plaintiff and Appellant,)	MOTION OF AMERICAN CIVIL
vs.)	LIBERTIES UNION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA AND
)	DEATH PENALTY FOCUS TO
JEANNE WOODFORD, Director and) JILL BROWN, Warden	FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT
Defendants and Appellees.)	

Alan L. Schlosser, SBN 49957 ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 1663 Mission Street, Ste. 460 San Francisco, CA 94103 Tel: (415) 62 -2493 Fax: (415) 255-8437 Attorney for Amici Curiae

Stephen F. Rhode, SBN 51446
Rhode & Victoroff
1880 Century Park East, Suite 411
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 277-1482
Fax: (310) 277-1485
Of Counsel for Death Penalty Focus

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DONALD J. BEARDSLEE)	Case No.	05-15042	
Plaintiff and Appellant,)		OF AME	RICAN CIVIL
vs.)	NORTH	RN CALI	FORNIA AND FOCUS TO
JEANNE WOODFORD, Director and) JILL BROWN, Warden	FILE AM	ICUS BRI F OF APP	EF IN
Defendants and Appellees.)			

Alan L. Schlosser, SBN 49957 ACLU FOUND ATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 1663 Mission Street, Ste. 460 San Francisco, CA 94103 Tel: (415) 621-2493 Fax: (415) 255-8437 Attorney for Amici Curiae

Stephen F. Rhode, SBN 51446
Rhode & Victoroff
1880 Century Park East, Suite 411
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 277-1482
Fax: (310) 277-1485
Of Counsel for Death Penalty Focus

MOTION TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPOR TOF APPELLANT

The American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California and Death Penalty Focus hereby move, pursuant to Fed. R. App. 29(a)(b), for leave to file the accompanying amicus brief in support of Plaintiff-Appellant.

Amici are public interest organizations holding a principled belief that capital punishment is unconstitutional. Because the institution of capital punishment currently enjoys legal sanction, we are committed to ensuring that if a state does choose to perform executions, it does so as humanely as possible.

In furtherance of that interest, amici are active participants in the public debate on capital punishment in general and on the humaneness of executions in particular. We therefore require *accurate* information on these subjects. The most telling information on the humaneness of particular execution methods is evidence of whether or not executed inmates actually experience pain. If executed inmates do experience pain, indicia of the quantity of pain experienced are central to the public debate and are of great public concern.

As members of the public, amici are holders and beneficiaries of the First Amendment right, set out in *California First Amendment Coalition v. Woodford*, 299 F.3d 868, 886 (9th Gr. 2002) ("*CFAC*"), to witness executions. We appear in this case because we value and intend to exercise fully our right to know whether California's lethal injection procedure subjects inmates to significant pain prior to death. The decision in this case will impact our ability to do so effectively.

Amici believe that filing the accompanying amicus brief would be helpful to the Court for three reasons. First, neither of the parties in this case is properly situated to vigorously assert the constitutional right discussed in the brief, the First Amendment right of access to important public proceedings. That right is held by the press and the public and is meant, in the execution context, to serve those wishing to gather information on the execution process in order to scrutinize government conduct and contribute to the important public discourse on capital punishment. *CFAC*, 299 F.3d at 876. Amici have an institutional history of scrutinizing the government's application of the death penalty.

Second, the right of access raised by amici is an important one. The First Amendment right of access to important public proceedings is a "structural" right that is critical to the proper functioning of our democracy because it ensures that the important public debate on now government operates is an informed one. Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia, 448 U.S. 555, 586-87 (1980) (Brennan, J., concurring) (emphasis in original).

Third, amici are particularly knowledgeable of the issue. The ACLU Foundation of Northern California was counsel in the *CFAC* case, which presented almost identical legal issues to those raised here with regard to the First Amendment right of access to executions.

For these reasons, amici respectfully request that their amicus brief be filed and considered by this Court.

DATED: January 11, 2005

Respectfully Submitted,

ALAN L. SCHLOSSER, ESQ.

Attorney for Amici Curiae: American Civil Liberties Union of

Northern California

Death Penalty Focus

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FR	NCISCO	
2	I am employed in the County of San Francisco,	State of Cal	ifornia. I am over the
3	I am employed in the County of San Francisco, age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My b Street, Suite 460, San Francisco, California 94103. member of the bar of this court at whose direction the	usiness add I am emplo	yed in the office of
4			is made.
5	On January 11, 2005, I served the foregoing d	cument:	
6	MOTION OF AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIE	S UNION	OF NORTHERN
7	CALIFORNIA AND DEATH PENALT AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT O	Y FOCUS APPELL	ANT
8	on the parties in this action by placing a true and correcenclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:	t copy of ea	ch document thereof
9	enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:		
10	Dane R. Gillette		
11	Senior Assistant Attorney General		
12	Office of the Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue,		
13	Suite 11000		
14	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
15	Tel: (415) 703-5866 Fax: (415) 703-1234		
16	Character C. Laublin on		
17	Steven S. Lubliner Law Offices of Steven S. Lubliner		
18	P.O. Box 750639		
19	Petaluma, CA 94975 Tel: (707) 789-0517		
20	Fax: (707) 789-0515		
21	I am readily familiar with the business' pract	ce of colle	ction and processing
22	correspondence for mailing with the United States	rostal Service	on the same day this
23	declaration was executed in the ordinary course of by was sealed and, with postage thereon fully prepaid, plathis date, following ordinary business practices, in	siness. I kn ced for coll	low that the envelope ection and mailing or
24	this date, following ordinary business practices, in Francisco, California.	the United	l States mail at Sar
25	Executed on January 11, 2005, at San Franciso	o, Californi	a.
26	-		
27	C At	D W/:11:	20
28	Cynthia	D. William	
1	·		İ